BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] United States Environmental Protection Agency, *Scrap Tires: Handbook on Recycling Applications and Management for the U.S. and Mexico,* pp. 21-34, 2010.

[2] M. L. Nehdi, "Eco-efficient and sustainable concrete incorporating recycled post-consumer and industrial byproducts," *Am. Concr. Inst.*, 2017.

[3] Ministry of Housing and Local Development & National Solid Waste Management Department, "A Study on Scrap Tyres Management for Peninsular Malaysia," 2011. [Online] https://jpspn.kpkt.gov.my/resources/index/user_1/Sumber_Rujukan/kajian/Tyre%20Study_Final%20Report_Eng%20Version.pdf

[4] A. Turer, "Recycling of Scrap Tires. Material Recycling," *Trends Perspect.,* pp. 195-212, 2012.

[5] "Tire Construction," Trazano Tires. [Online]. Available: http://www.trazano.be/tire-construction.html. [Accessed: 21-Feb-2017].

[6] "Scrap Tire Markets," Rubber Manufacturers Association. [Online]. Available: https://rma.org/scrap-tire/scrap-tire-markets. [Accessed: 12-Dec-2016].

[7] P.R. Shakya, P.Shresta, C.S. Tamrakar & P.K.Bhattara, "Studies and determination of heavy metals in Waste Tyres and their impacts on the environment," *Pak. J. Anal &. Envir. Chem*, 7, 2, pp. 70-76, 2006.

[8] M.L. Mahlangu, "Waste Tyre Management Problems in South Africa and the," Unpublished Dissertation, University of South Africa, 2009.

[9] F.P. Torgal, Y. Ding & S. Jalali, "Properties and durability of concrete containing polymeric wastes (tyre rubber and polyethylene terephthalate bottles): An overview," *Constr. Build. Mater.,* 30, pp. 714-724, 2012.

[10] J. Rubenstone, "PCA Forecasts Growth in Cement Consumption at World of Concrete 2016," [Online]. Available: http://www.enr.com/articles/38747-pca-forecasts-growth-in-cement-consumption-at-world-of-concrete-2016. Accessed: 20 January 2017.

[11] E.S. Ortega, E.P. Garcia, J.F. Cabello & A.S. Garcia, "Evaluation of crumb rubber as aggregate for automated manufacturing of rubberized long hollow blocks and bricks," *Constr. Build. Mater*., 106, pp. 305-316, 2016. Doi.10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.12.131

[12] A. Turatsinze & M. Garros, "On the modulus of elasticity and strain capacity of Self-Compacting Concrete incorporating rubber aggregates," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.,* 52, 10, pp. 1209-215, 2008.

[13] A.R. Khaloo, M. Dehestanin & P. Rahmatabadi, "Mechanical properties of concrete containing a high volume of tire-rubber particles," *Waste Manag.*, 28, 12, pp. 2472-482, 2008.

[14] G. Li, G. Garrick, J. Eggers, C. Abadie, M.A Stubblefield & S.S. Pang, "Waste tire fiber modified concrete," *Compos. Part B Eng.,* 35, 4, pp. 305-312, 2004.

[15] G. Li, M.A. Stubblefield, G. Garrick, J. Eggers, C. Abadie & B. Huang, "Development of waste tire modified concrete," *Cem. Concr. Res.,* 34, 12, pp. 2283-289, 2004.

[16] F. Liu, W. Zheng, L. Li, W. Feng & G. Ning, "Mechanical and fatigue performance of rubber concrete", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 47, pp. 711-719, 2013.

[17] B.S. Mohammed, K.M. Anwar Hossain, J.T. Eng Swee, G. Wong & M. Abdullahi, "Properties of crumb rubber hollow concrete block", *J. Clean. Prod.,* 23, 1, pp. 57-67, 2012.

[18] J. Lv, T. Zhou, Q. Du & H. Wu, "Effects of rubber particles on mechanical properties of lightweight aggregate concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 91, pp. 145-149, 2015.

[19] J. Xie, Y. Guo, L. Liu & Z. Xie, "Compressive and flexural behaviours of a new steel-fibre-reinforced recycled aggregate concrete with crumb rubber", *Constr. Build. Mater.,* 79, pp. 263-272, 2015.

[20] N. Segre & I. Joekes, "Use of tire rubber particles as addition to cement paste," *Cem. Concr. Res.,* 30, 9, pp. 1421-425, 2000.

[21] P. Turgut & B. Yesilata, "Physico-mechanical and thermal performances of newly developed rubber-added bricks," *Energy Build*., 40, 5, pp. 679-688, 2008.

[22] Z. Tang, M. Ali & N. Chouw, "Residual compressive and shear strengths of novel coconut-fibre-reinforced-concrete interlocking blocks," *Constr. Build. Mater.,* 66, pp. 533-540, 2014.

[23] M. Ali, R. Briet & N. Chouw, "Dynamic response of mortar-free interlocking structures," *Constr. Build. Mater*., 42, pp. 168-189, 2013.

[24] S.S. Khan & A.S. Deshmukh, "Mortarless Masonry with Interlocking Blocks", *International Journal of Research in Engineering Science and Technologies,* 1, 8, 314-319, 2015.

[25] L. Fay, P. Cooper & H.F. Morais, "Innovative interlocked soil-cement block for the construction of masonry to eliminate the settling mortar", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 52, pp. 391-395, 2014.

[26] W.A. Thanoon, M.S. Jaafar, M.R. Abdul Kadir, A.A.A. Ali, D.N. Trikha & A.M.S. Najm, "Development of an innovative interlocking load bearing hollow block system in Malaysia," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 18, 6, pp. 445-454, 2004.

[27] A.A.A. Ali & M. AbdulKadir, "Strength properties and structural performance of interlocking hollow block walls", *Jurnal Institut Jurutera Malaysia*, 53, pp. 25-35, 1987.

[28] M.S. Jaafar, A.H. Alwathaf, W.A. Thanoon, J. Noorzaei & M.R. Abdulkadir, "Behaviour of interlocking mortarless block masonry", *Constr. Mater.*, 159, August, pp. 111-117, 2006.

[29] T. Kaaki, "Behavior and strength of masonry prisms loaded in compression," Dalhouse University Thesis, 2013

[30] D.M. Sadek & M.M. El-Attar, "Structural behavior of rubberized masonry walls", *J. Clean. Prod.,* 89, pp. 174-186, 2015.

[31] M. Nazar & S. Sinha, "Fatigue behaviour of interlocking grouted stabilised mud-fly ash brick masonry", *Int. J. Fatigue*, 29, 5, pp. 953-961, 2007.

[32] M.A.A. Al-Amoudi & A.H. Alwathaf, "The Behavior of Hollow Concrete Block Masonry Under Axial Compression", *J. Eng. Sci.*, 3, 2, pp. 32-53, 2014.

[33] E. Toumbakari, D. Van Gemert & E. Vintzileou, "Experimental investigation and analytical modeling of the effect of injection grouts on the strutural behaviour of three-leaf masonry walls", *Strutural Analysis of Historical Constructions*, pp. 707-718, 2005.

[34] A. Gabor, E. Ferrier, E. Jacquelin & P. Hamelin, "Analysis and modelling of the in-plane shear behaviour of hollow brick masonry panels", *Constr. Build. Mater.,* 20, 5, pp. 308-321, 2006.

[35] B. Qu, B.J. Stirling, D.C. Jansen, D.W. Bland & P.T. Laursen, "Testing of flexure-dominated interlocking compressed earth block walls", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 83, pp. 34-43, 2015.

[36] Z. Ahmad, S.Z. Othman, B. Yunus & A. Mohamed, "Behaviour of Masonry Wall Constructed using Interlocking Soil Cement Bricks", World Acad. *Sci. Eng. Technol.*, 60, 12, pp. 1263-269, 2011.

[37] ASTM C150: Standard Specification for Portland Cement.

[38] BS, "BS 3921:1985 Specification for Clay bricks,", London: Britsh Standard Institution, 1985.

[39] BS EN, "BS EN 12390-7:2009 Testing hardened concrete Part 7: Density of hardened concrete," *Management*, 3, 2009.

[40] BORAL, 2010 "Bricks & Pavers Technical Manual", https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/read/11283188/bricks-pavers-technical-manual-boral

[41] Masonry Standard Joint Committee (MSJC), Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI530-02/ASCE5-02/TMS402-02), 2002, p. 58
[42] J.E. Amrhein, *Reinforced Masonry Engineering Handbook*. Longmont: MIA Publication, 2009.
[43] BS EN 1992-1-1:2004
[44] T. Cheema & R. Klingner, "Compressive Strength of Concrete Masonry Beams", 109, 1986.
[45] Z. Li, F. Li, & J.S.L. Li, "Properties of concrete incorporating rubber tyre particles", *Mag. Concr. Res.,* 50, 4, pp. 297-304, 1998.
[46] H.B. Kaushik, D.C. Rai & S.K. Jain, "Stress-Strain Characteristics of Clay Brick Masonry under Uniaxial Compression,", *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.,* 19, 9, pp. 728-739, 2007.
[47] Q. Zhou, F. Wang, F. Zhu & X. Yang, "Stress-strain model for hollow concrete block masonry under uniaxial compression", *Mater. Struct*., 50, 2, p. 106, 2017.
[48] Q. Dong, B. Huang & X. Shu, "Rubber modified concrete improved by chemically active coating and silane coupling agent", *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 48, pp. 116-123, 2013.
[49] K.S. Son, I. Hajirasouliha, and K. Pilakoutas, "Strength and deformability of waste tyre rubber-filled reinforced concrete columns," *Constr. Build. Mater.,* 25, 1, pp. 218-226, 2011.
[50] V.F. Vazquez, J. Luong, M. Bueno, F. Teran & S.E. Paje, "Assessment of an action against environmental noise: Acoustic durability of a pavement surface with crumb rubber", *Sci. Total Environ.*, 542, pp. 223-230, 2016.
[51] J.D. Dolan, "Monotonic Tests of Long Shear Walls with Openings,", The Americak Forest and Paper Association, Washington, 1997.

INDEX

A

acoustic 17, 22, 24, 27
adhesion 17, 45, 78, 80
aggregate
    fine xv, 8, 12-18, 22, 39-43, 46, 50, 53, 59, 69, 77, 84, 112
aggregates
    fine xv, 8, 13, 17-18, 39-41, 46, 50, 53, 60, 77, 84, 112
air content 65, 68
ANOVA 47-49, 111
asphalt 12
ASTM 39-41, 56-61, 64-67, 69-70, 74, 76-77, 79, 83-86, 112
automotive xv, 1
axial loading 94, 103

B

bearing area 86, 93, 98, 103
bed joint 29, 36, 79
bending 19-20, 26
biodegradable xv, 4, 24
block 18, 22-27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 83
boiling 56, 58-61
breeding xi, 1-2, 4, 24
brick unfired 26
bricks xv-xvi, 2, 12, 22-29, 33, 37-39, 41-45, 53-86, 89-90, 98-99, 101, 110, 112
brickwork 36
building xv, 6, 25, 27, 54, 71, 81
bulky xv, 2, 4, 24
burning 1-2, 4, 7, 24
burnt tires 73

C

capillary 21
carbon dioxide 1
cement xv, 1, 13, 18-19, 21-23, 26, 33, 36-37, 39-40, 44-45, 54, 59-60, 64, 69, 74, 77-78, 80, 84, 109
cementitious 19, 44, 84
characteristics 74, 113
chopped 5
civil engineering applications 6, 12
clay brick 2
closure 91
coconut fibre 25
combustion 2, 4, 54
composition 6, 26, 39-41
compressed earth blocks 33
compressive strength, 18-19, 24, 27, 29, 31, 33-36, 43, 47-51, 53, 55, 71-78, 81-88, 91-93, 96-100, 102-104, 109-112
concrete xv, 8, 12-26, 31-32, 39, 66, 68-69, 77, 80-83, 95-97, 105-106, 108-112
    normal 15-17, 80, 96, 105
    mixtures 12
constituent 13, 22
construction industry xv, 38, 54
contaminate 2, 4-5
conventional concrete xv, 14, 17, 24, 83

courses 31, 85-86
crack 8, 15, 20-21, 29-30, 34, 36-37, 58, 66, 86, 88-91, 94-104, 110
crack propagation 15, 102
cracker mill 8
crumb rubber xv, 2, 6, 8-9, 12-24, 29-62, 65-83, 95, 101, 105-106, 109, 111-113
crush 89

D
de-bonding 31
deflection 16, 98, 107
deformation 14-17, 23-24, 36, 95, 98, 105-108, 110
density xv-xvi, 14, 17, 22, 24, 41, 53-56, 62-65, 81, 112
depletion xv, 2
dimension xvi, 27, 53-58, 63, 74, 81, 85, 96-97, 111
discard 1
displacement 26, 36, 63, 98, 108
disposal 1-8
disposed 1, 3, 7
dry
  curing 53, 81, 111
  mix design xv
ductile behavior 15
ductility xv, 15, 17, 24, 33, 113

E
eccentricities 36
eccentricity 36
efflorescence xvi, 53, 55-56, 69-70, 81, 112
electrical
  coating 5
  resistance 22
  resistivity xv, 17
elevated temperature xvi, 53, 55-56, 71, 72-73, 81, 112
elongation 15
embankment 5
emissions 4
energy 1, 4, 15, 21, 23, 26, 37-38, 105, 108-109
energy absorption, 15, 23, 37-38, 109
entrap air 20

F
failure 15-17, 20, 23-24, 29, 31, 33-34, 36-37, 74-76, 86-90, 93-95, 98-99, 101-105, 107-109, 113
bearing mode 36
brittle 15, 113
buckling sudden 15, 105
failure
  load 15
  mode 20, 33, 36, 86, 88, 99, 113
fibre 6, 9, 25-26, 29
flammable material 1, 24
flexibility 15, 23, 37, 101
flexural
  cracks 90
  shear cracks 90
fly ash xv, 19, 21-23, 29, 39-41, 43-45, 48-52, 54, 69, 77-78, 83, 111, 113
fracture 37, 105, 109
full block 31

G
global warming 2
granulating 6
granulator 9
greenhouse effect 1
gas 2
gases 2

grout 65-67, 83-84, 86, 90-91, 95-96, 98, 103, 108-110
grouted 65-67, 83-110

H
half block 31-32
hardening 14, 20, 45, 59
hazardous 2
head joint 36, 97, 101, 110
higher xi, 9, 14-15, 22, 25-26, 29-31, 37, 72-73, 81, 89, 91-92, 94, 96-97, 100, 102, 104-110, 112
hollow 4, 18, 22-24, 27, 30-32, 83-84, 86-110
  concrete block 22-23, 31
homogeneity 45
household waste 3
hydraulic jack 97
hydrophobic 20, 54, 59, 68, 78, 81, 111

I
immersed 58, 60, 64-65, 69-70
immersion test 61
independent variables 48
individual brick 56-57, 92
indoor xv, 27
infill materials 5
inhomogeneous 45
initial rate of suction xvi, 53, 55, 65, 111
insulation 6, 17, 22, 38, 113
interfacial transition zone 19, 21, 23, 78
interlocking
  rubbercrete xv, xvi, 94, 105
  blocks 25-26, 29,
  brick 2, 25, 27, 29, 35- 36, 38-39, 41-47, 53-72, 74, 77-90, 96, 98, 101, 109-113

L
landfill xv, 1-7, 24
landfills xi, 4-6
lateral deformation 15
leachate 2, 5
lightweight 22, 59, 64
lime 22
linear
  relationship, 93-94
  stress–strain 104-105
load dynamic 15, 25
longitudinal 79, 89-90
low cost 2
lower xi, 14-15, 20, 25, 35, 46, 65-66, 73, 84, 88, 97, 100, 103, 119, 122-123

M
Malaysia 1, 3, 27
masonry 2, 22, 24-29, 31, 33-38, 57, 67, 77-79, 81-85, 87, 92-113
mass
  dry 65, 67
  received 62
  saturated, 62
  wet 65, 67
mass production xv
medium weight brick 59, 64
medium weight bricks 59-60
mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) xvi, 71, 74
mesh 22, 41-43, 46
micro cracks 16, 104
microcracks 18, 21
mix design xv, 38, 39, 43, 46, 51-52, 55, 77-78, 83
modulus of rupture xvi, 19-20, 53, 55-56, 79-81, 112
mortar 13, 25-27, 29, 31, 33, 37-38, 66, 90, 99, 101-102, 110

brick 27, 29, 38
joints 27, 38, 102
layer 27, 110
mortarless 25-26

N
noise xv, 6, 12, 17, 27, 29
noise reduction xv, 17, 27
non-destructive 67
non-load-bearing 22
non-polar 14, 64

O
optimization 46, 51-52, 111
Ordinary Portland Cement 39-40

P
panel 29
partial replacement xv, 12-13, 22, 43, 77
particles
finer 6, 19
smaller 6
pavement 6, 12
physicochemical 23
Poisson's ratio 87-88, 96-97, 100, 106, 110
pores 60, 61, 74
porosity 20-21, 25, 62, 112
pozzolan 23
pozzolanic 19, 23, 40
predicted 48-49, 110-111
principal
strain 95, 105-106
stress 95, 105-106
prisms xvi, 20, 30-31, 38, 83-97, 104-105, 110, 112-113
probability 49
process
cryogenic 8
cutting 9
granulation 8
mechanical 8
properties xv-xvi, 8, 17-18, 22, 24, 33, 38-40, 55, 74, 81, 111-112
chemical xv, 39
physical 38, 55
prototypes 26
Putra Block 27

Q
quadratic polynomial 47

R
raw materials xv, 22
recycling xv, 5, 6, 29, 38
reduction xv, 8, 13-19, 21, 24-25, 27, 64-69, 77-78, 80-83, 92, 102, 109, 112-113
repel water 20
residuals 49-50
resource 5
response factor 48
response surface methodology xv, 43, 46, 55, 111
retaining wall 12
rice husk ash 26
room temperature 45, 53, 60, 63, 71-72, 74
RSM xv, 43, 46-47, 51-52, 55, 77-78, 110
rubber
ash 14
chips 8
fiber 14
powder 8
rubbercrete
brick 14, 22-23, 30, 46, 52, 69, 79, 111

interlocking 38-39, 41-45, 47, 53-70, 72, 74, 77-81, 83-86, 88-113
grouted 65-67, 83-86, 89-110
hollow 4, 18, 22-24, 27, 30-32, 83-110
rubberized bitumen 5

S
safety 4, 15, 27
sand xv, 26, 40-41, 45, 53, 70, 84
saturation 60
scrap tires xv, 1-11, 22, 24, 53, 111
shear strengths 25
shredded 4-7, 9, 11, 13
shredding 6, 8
shrinkage 73
sieve 41-43
silica fume 19, 21-23, 29
simulation 49-50
slenderness ratio 29, 91-92, 102, 110
slump 43, 84-85
solid
brick 36, 76
waste 1, 5, 22
sound absorption xv, 16, 27, 113
spalling 89, 98-99, 110, 113
specific gravity 14, 40-41, 64, 81, 112
splitting 31, 89-90, 110
standards 26, 60
steel 6, 8-12, 45, 65, 79
steel wires 8-9, 11
stiffness 15, 18, 26, 30, 37, 98, 100, 109
stockpiled 3
strain curve 30, 87, 95,
stress 15, 34, 72, 87, 90, 93-95, 104-106
stress-strain
curve 30-35, 87, 93-94, 103-105
relationship 93-94, 103
stretch 101
structural damage 15
sustainability 2
sustainable 5, 22
system
haener 26
hydraform blocks 26
LEGO 25, 27
Meccano 26
solid interlocking blocks 26
sparfil 26
sparlock 26

T
temperature xvi, 2, 9, 45, 53, 55-56, 60, 63, 67, 71-77, 112
tensile stresses 39
thermal
conductivity xv-xvi, 17-18, 22, 27, 53, 55-56, 67-69, 81-83, 112-113
resistance 17, 22
tire 1, 8-9, 12-13, 24, 111
tires chips 8-9, 97,
toughness 16, 37-38, 109
toxic 2, 4, 24
trail dry mixes xv
transverse 79
treatment 1, 5

U
ultimate load 15, 30

V
ventilated oven 58, 60
vertical

joints 31
load 35, 90

W

walls xvi, 25-27, 33, 35-36, 38, 76, 83, 97-100, 102-113
waste 1-7, 22, 40, 53, 113,
waste tires 2, 8, 38,
water
absorption xvi, 21-22, 25-26, 53, 55-62, 66, 81, 112
tank 58
weak bond 21, 65
weight
oven-dry 60, 62
saturated 60, 6